

LETTER

Ancient genomes reveal early Andean farmers selected common beans while preserving diversity

Trucchi Emiliano(1,2)*#, Benazzo Andrea(2)*, Lari Martina(3)*, Iob Alice(2), Vai Stefania(3), Nanni Laura(4), Bellucci Elisa(4), Bitocchi Elena(4), Xu Chunming(5), Jackson A Scott(5), Lema Verónica (6,7), Babot Pilar(7,8), Oliszewski Nurit(7), Gil Adolfo(9,10), Neme Gustavo(9,10), Michieli Catalina Teresa(11), De Lorenzi Monica(12), Calcagnile Lucio(13), Caramelli David(3), Star Bastiaan(14), de Boer Hugo(15), Boessenkool Sanne(14)\$, Papa Roberto(4)\$, Bertorelle Giorgio(2)\$#.

(1) Department of Life and Environmental Sciences, Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy

(2) Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

(3) Department of Biology, University of Firenze, Firenze, Italy

(4) Department of Agricultural, Food, and Environmental Sciences, Marche Polytechnic University, Ancona, Italy

(5) Center for Applied Genetic Technologies, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA

(6) Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina

(7) Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina

(8) Instituto de Arqueología y Museo, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Tucumán, Argentina

(9) Instituto de Evolución, Ecología Histórica y Ambiente (CONICET & UTN FRSR), San Rafael, Argentina

(10) Museo de Historia Natural de San Rafael, Argentina

(11) Instituto de Investigaciones Arqueológicas y Museo “Prof. Mariano Gambier” - UNSJ, San Juan, Argentina

(12) Museo Arqueológico de Cachi, Cachi, Argentina

(13) Department of Mathematics and Physics "Ennio De Giorgi", University of Salento, Italy

(14) Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Synthesis, Department of Biosciences, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

(15) Natural History Museum, University of Oslo, Norway

* Contribution equally

\$ Contribution equally

Corresponding authors: ggb@unife.it; e.trucchi@staff.univpm.it

Keywords

Ancient DNA, plant genomics, domestication, genomic erosion, selection scan, sustainable agriculture

43 **Introductory paragraph**

44 All crops are the product of a domestication process that started less than 12,000 years ago from one
45 or more wild populations [1, 2]. Farmers selected desirable phenotypic traits, such as improved
46 energy accumulation, palatability of seeds and reduced natural shattering [3], while leading
47 domesticated populations through several more or less gradual demographic contractions [2, 4]. As
48 a consequence, erosion of wild genetic variation [5] is typical of modern cultivars making them
49 highly susceptible to pathogens, pests and environmental change [6,7]. The loss of genetic diversity
50 hampers further crop improvement programs to increase food production in a changing world,
51 posing serious threats to food security [8,9]. Using both ancient and modern seeds, we analyzed the
52 temporal dynamic of genetic variation and selection during the domestication process of the
53 common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) that occurred in the Southern Andes. Here we show that most
54 domestic traits were selected for prior to 2,500 years ago, with no or only minor loss of whole-
55 genome variation. In fact, i) all ancient domestic genomes dated between 600 and 2,500 years ago
56 are highly variable - at least as variable as a modern genome from the wild; the genetic erosion that
57 we observe in modern cultivars is therefore a recent process that occurred in the last centuries; ii)
58 the majority of changes at coding genes [and linked regions](#) that differentiate wild and domestic
59 genomes are already present in the ancient genomes analyzed here. Considering that most desirable
60 phenotypic traits are likely controlled by multiple polymorphic genes [10], a likely explanation of
61 this decoupling of selection and genomic erosion is that [many phenotypically similar but](#)
62 [genomically diverse individuals were used as parents by early farmers, resulting in a applied-a](#)
63 [relatively weak selection pressure \[2\]- consistent with the population development of ancient human](#)
64 [communities by using many phenotypically similar but genomically diverse individuals as breeders.](#)
65 Selection strategies during the last few centuries were probably less sustainable and produced
66 further improvements focusing on few plants carrying the traits of interest, at the cost of marked
67 genetic erosion.
68

The onset of domestication by early farmers has been suggested as the period during which the most intense genetic bottleneck affecting genome-wide diversity occurred [4,11]. Yet, artificial selection, possibly at different rates in different times, was likely a continuous process that produced both landraces and, more recently under modern breeding programs, high-yielding and more resistant elite cultivars [2,12,13]. Understanding when the majority of genetic diversity was lost, and how such loss is related with the intensity of the selection process, is not only relevant from an evolutionary perspective but it will also help planning more sustainable breeding options to revert or mitigate crop's genetic erosion [14,15]. We directly address these questions by analyzing both modern and ancient genomes of common bean from South America.

Common bean constitutes one of the major sources of vegetable proteins worldwide. The wild progenitor of common bean originated in Mesoamerica and colonized South America along the Andes [16,17]. This natural colonization process, dated by whole genome analysis between 146,000 and 184,000 years ago [17], was accompanied by an intense and long bottleneck, as supported by the higher genetic diversity observed in the Mesoamerican as compared to the Andean gene pool [18]. Domestication of common bean occurred independently more or less simultaneously in both Mesoamerica and the Andes ca. 8,000 years ago, followed by divergence into distinct landraces due to drift, local selection, and adaptation [16].

We obtained whole genome *shotgun* data from 30 ancient common bean seeds representing nine archaeological sites in north and central western Argentina (Supplementary Information S1-2,4, Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary Table S1). All 30 seeds were dated between 2500 and 600 years before present (yrs BP) by AMS radiocarbon dating (Supplementary Information S3). Initial screening showed remarkable DNA preservation, with on average 44% (SD = 12%) endogenous DNA mapped to the common bean reference genome [17] (Supplementary Table S1). Short fragment lengths (average = 65, SD = 22 bp) were consistent with those expected for degraded DNA. The presence of deamination patterns at the end of the reads varied from 0% to 30% C to T and G to A misincorporations, with half of the seeds showing percentages below 10% (Supplementary Figure S2). Damage patterns were partially explained by archaeological site's locations: all seeds from sites at >2,500 meters above sea level showed less than 10% base misincorporations (with many close to or at zero), whereas the majority of seeds from lower altitude exhibited levels above 15% (Supplementary Figure S3). The observed pattern suggests that both favorable environmental conditions and the structure of the seed (*e.g.*, presence of an external cuticle) likely resulted in good DNA preservation. A subset of the specimens (Figure 1a,b) was selected for further sequencing based on DNA preservation and representation of age and locations, resulting in a final dataset of 15 ancient bean genomes sequenced from 4X to 18X coverage (Supplementary Table S1). Whole-genome data from modern common bean accessions (domesticated landraces/cultivars: 9 Andean, 3 Mesoamerican; wild: 1 Andean, 1 Mesoamerican) and a closely related species (*P. hintonii* 1 accession) were also included in the analyses (Supplementary Information S6; Supplementary Table S2). [All statistical analyses were performed on transversion only to avoid the bias possibly introduced by post-mortem deamination \(introduce new citation?\).](#)

Heterozygosity is higher in ancient than in modern domesticated Andean seeds. In particular, individual heterozygosity (estimated as the variation parameter θ every thousand nucleotides; Supplementary Information S7) is higher in all ancient seeds than in each of nine modern landraces/cultivars from the same Andean gene pool (Figure 1c, Supplementary Table S3) and average heterozygosity is more than ten times higher in ancient than in modern seeds (0.144 vs. 0.013 θ /kbp, respectively, Mann-Whitney U, $P < 0.001$). The possible effect of decreased variation due to the selfing procedure applied to modern accessions in seed banks was tested by pooling pairs of modern seeds and estimating θ /kbp in different seeds pairs (Supplementary Information S8). As expected, variation in modern pairs increased compared to single seeds, but it is on average still

Con formato: Fuente: 13 pto

three times smaller than that observed in ancient seeds (Mann-Whitney U test, $P < 0.005$, Supplementary Figure S4; Supplementary Table S4). Considering that θ/kbp can increase in modern seed pairs also because our paired seeds belong to different landraces (i.e., to genetic structure among landraces and not to seed bank practice), we conclude that modern seeds are several magnitudes less variable than ancient seeds.

The modern genome available from a wild Andean seed [19] has a much lower genomic diversity than the wild Mesoamerican seed in our dataset (Figure 1c), and similar to the less variable seeds within the ancient group. Although single seeds clearly represent only a fraction of the global variation, especially if populations are genetically structured, this result is a) consistent with the bottleneck that occurred during the natural colonization of the Andes from Mesoamerica [18] and b) it appears compatible with a minor effect of initial Andean domestication on genetic diversity. Moreover, the lack of a temporal trend across ancient seeds of different age (Figure 1c) indicates that genome-wide diversity was largely maintained by agricultural practices of Andean societies between 2,500 and 600 years ago. A more consistent loss of diversity within each cultivar occurred more recently, certainly less than 600 years ago and likely in the last century [13]. Similarly, a very recent loss of diversity characterized the domestication history of horses [20]. The absence of data on seeds from the last 600 years hinders, however, a direct inference about the temporal dynamic in the last six centuries.




Figure 1. Diversity in ancient and modern common bean. A) Pictures of common bean seeds used for high-coverage whole-genome sequencing: sample ID with two letters referring to the archaeological site of origin as shown in panel B is reported in the top-left corner of the pictures (see Supplementary Information S1); AMS radiocarbon age in yrs BP is reported in the bottom-right corner. B) Map showing the locations of the archaeological sites where the seeds were retrieved (red) and the country of origin of the modern domesticated cultivars from South and Central America (blue and green, respectively) and the wild ones (pale blue, pale green). Modern seeds location is only indicative of the country of origin C) Whole-genome diversity estimated as θ/kbp in individual seeds (solid circles), average of individual estimates per group (solid line), and in groups of seeds (dashed line) using only transversions in callable regions (see Supplementary Information S5 for details); only domesticated cultivars are included in the group estimates. D) Neighbor-Joining tree based on genetic distances and Admixture analysis both based on transversions only (one SNPs every 50 kb was used in the Admixture analysis) in callable regions; note that modern seeds from Chile cluster together with the ancient seeds.

152 When estimating genetic variation in groups of modern Andean (0.294 θ /kbp) or Mesoamerican
153 seeds (0.860 θ /kbp) we observe a substantial increase compared to average individual estimates
154 (Figure 1c), indicating that modern genomic diversity is highly structured in different, highly
155 homogeneous, cultivars. The abandonment and extinction of any modern cultivar would therefore
156 result in the significant loss of its private fraction of the whole crop diversity [8,21]. On the
157 contrary, the estimate of genetic diversity obtained by pooling all ancient individuals is very similar
158 to the average of the 15 individual estimates (0.134 θ /kbp; Figure 1c), clearly indicating that ancient
159 genomes belong to a single genomic pool (*i.e.*, they are very similar both across time and
160 archaeological sites) and that any handful of ancient seeds could recover a large fraction of the
161 ancient crop diversity.

162
163 Three main genetic groups were revealed by different clustering methods (Supplementary
164 Information S9) and a whole-genome NJ tree (Figure 1b; Supplementary Figures S5-S6). The
165 largest divergence is observed between Mesoamerican and Andean genomes. The Andean seeds are
166 then further partitioned into two less differentiated groups. All ancient seeds belong to the same
167 genomic clade, indicating that the same or similar ancient landraces were in use in Argentina for
168 about 2,000 years. Rather surprisingly, this clade also includes modern cultivars used today on the
169 other side of the Andes, in Chile. The so-called Chilean race [22] therefore appears to be the direct
170 descendants of the seeds used in Argentina before the Incaic conquest of the area occurred at the
171 end of the 15th century [23]. Modern Argentinian cultivars, instead, do not trace their ancestry back
172 to the local ancient cultivar but were likely introduced in the area some time after 600 years ago.

173
174 A gene-by-gene selection scan (Figure 2a) was performed to discriminate early (>2,500 years ago)
175 from late (<600 years ago) selection targets, by taking into account the different levels of genetic
176 drift expected in the two time periods of different length (Supplementary Information S10). We
177 found that: *i*) a selection signature is present in approximately 400 out of 27,000 genes tested
178 (FDR<0.001); *ii*) selection affected many more genes (ca. 4.5 times more) in the early compared to
179 the late phase (Fig. 2b), suggesting that recent improvement of cultivars strongly affected few
180 genes, but the main genomic turnover occurred several thousand years ago; *iii*) genes selected in the
181 early phase belong to functional clusters mainly related to glycerol metabolism, carbohydrate and
182 sugar transport and metabolism, intracellular transport (endosome membrane), regulatory elements
183 (nucleotide binding), modification of proteins, glycosylation, whereas recent selection mainly
184 targeted traits involved in immunity and defense, regulatory elements and transmembrane transport
185 (Figure 2c; Supplementary Table S5); *iv*) nine genes show a significant signature of both early and
186 late selection and are mainly related to immune response. Evidence for selection on sugar
187 biosynthesis genes at the early stages of domestication has also been found in ancient maize from
188 2,000 and 750 years ago [24]. One of the functional clusters identified in the early selected genes
189 includes the MYB-domain genes that are important regulatory elements of development,
190 metabolism and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses [25], and have been suggested as causative
191 of different phenotypic changes associated to the shattering trait in common bean [26], maize and
192 rice [4,27].

193
194 Genomic studies of ancient DNA are much less numerous in plants compared to animals remains
195 [28-29], but have already provided fundamental insights into the process of domestication [24,30-
196 34]. The dynamic of this process is still debated and likely not the same in all species, but, as
197 recently summarized [2], the consensus is that domestication was slow and gradual, and selected
198 traits emerged at the cost of a decline in population size and genetic variation. Here we contribute to
199 this debate with the first study on ancient bean genomics, and we suggest an alternative scenario
200 under which selection and loss of variation are decoupled in this species. First of all, our sequencing
201 effort shows that common bean seeds, and likely legume seeds in general, can be an excellent
202 source of high quality ancient DNA, especially if seeds are preserved in favorable climatic
203 conditions (*i.e.*, cold and dry environments). Second, our data reveals that in common bean early

204 agriculture was more sustainable for genomic diversity compared to more recent breeding. At the
205 same time, however, assuming that the genetic changes we infer correspond at least in part to
206 phenotypic changes, early agriculture was also very efficient in selecting most of the desirable traits
207 now typical of this crop. We hypothesize that these patterns are the result of the larger number of
208 seeds, likely all displaying the selected trait(s) but heterogeneous in the rest of the genome, used by
209 early farmers as founders in each generation. Initial improvement of the common bean was
210 therefore based on serial soft sweeps, as has also been suggested for the domestication of maize
211 [10]. Encompassing several thousand years, and likely assisted by cultivar exchanges and
212 hybridization with wild plants [2,22,35-36], such breeding practice allowed many traits to be
213 selected for, without the significant loss of genomic variation that has occurred in more recent
214 times.
215




Figure 2. Timing of selection in common bean from South America. All genes (27,000) in the common bean genome were tested for a significant enrichment of fixed alternative alleles according to the topologies described below but taking into account different level of drift occurring during period of time of different length (see Supplementary Information S10 for details). **A)** Alternative topologies for a SNP: on the right (AS: ancient selection) the SNP is fixed for an alternative variant in both the ancient seeds from Argentina (red circles) and the modern cultivars from Chile (blue circles) as compared with the wild andean *P. vulgaris* seed (pale blue circle) and the outgroup (*Phaseolus hintonii*, yellow circle); on the left (RS: recent selection) the SNP is fixed for an alternative variant in the modern cultivars from Chile only as compared with the ancient seeds, the wild Andean and the outgroup; the gray shade represents the temporal fixation of an alternative allele; ancient seeds age as well as the putative divergence time between Andean domesticated and wild *P. vulgaris* is reported. Using the genome of the wild mesoamerican *P. vulgaris* as outgroup instead of *P. hintonii* produced largely similar results (see Supplementary Table S5). **B)** Number of genes significantly enriched with SNPs in RS (blue) and/or AS (red) topology (FDR<0.001, top 1% for the proportion of SNPs within gene $\pm$ 1kb, and with an *Arabidopsis thaliana* ortholog). **C)** Functional gene clusters (see Supplementary Information S10) in RS (blue) or AS (red) enriched groups (the complete list of genes can be found in Supplementary Table S5). Filled bars: max gene count in the cluster; empty bars: enrichment score of the cluster (only scores >1 are reported).

252 **Acknowledgments**

253 This study was supported by CUIA (Consorzio Universitario Italiano per l'Argentina, V[^] Research
254 Program), the Bean_Adapt (Era-Caps) project, the internal grants from the University of Ferrara,
255 the Marche Polytechnic University, and the University of Firenze, the Italian Ministry of Education,
256 University and Research (project "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2018-2022"), the Swedish Research
257 Council grant VR-UF E0347601 and the Norwegian Research Council grant 262777. We also thank
258 CONICET (Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas) and the Institutions and
259 Museums in Argentina for their support in the fieldwork, and in particular for recovering the
260 archaeo-botanical specimens and studying the archaeological sites.

262 **Data accessibility**

263 Sequenced raw reads from ancient samples are publicly available as NCBI Bioproject (ID:
264 PRJNA574560). Unpublished modern sample genomic data are available upon request from ERA-
265 CAPS funded project "BeanAdapt: The Genomics of Adaptation during Crop Expansion in Bean",
266 coordinated by Roberto Papa, Marche Polytechnic University.

270 **References**

- 271
272 1 Diamond, J. (2002). Evolution, consequences and future of plant and animal domestication. *Nature*,
273 418(6898), 700.
- 274 2 Purugganan, M. D. (2019). Evolutionary Insights into the Nature of Plant Domestication. *Current Biology*,
275 29(14), R705-R714.
- 276 3 Meyer, R. S., & Purugganan, M. D. (2013). Evolution of crop species: genetics of domestication and
277 diversification. *Nature reviews genetics*, 14(12), 840.
- 278 4 Doebley, J. F., Gaut, B. S., & Smith, B. D. (2006). The molecular genetics of crop domestication. *Cell*,
279 127(7), 1309-1321.
- 280 5 Van de Wouw, M., Kik, C., van Hintum, T., van Treuren, R., & Visser, B. (2010). Genetic erosion in crops:
281 concept, research results and challenges. *Plant Genetic Resources*, 8(1), 1-15.
- 282 6 Babiker, E. M., Gordon, T. C., Chao, S., Newcomb, M., Rouse, M. N., Jin, Y., ... & Bonman, J. M. (2015).
283 Mapping resistance to the Ug99 race group of the stem rust pathogen in a spring wheat landrace. *Theoretical*
284 *and Applied Genetics*, 128(4), 605-612.
- 285 7 Dale, J., James, A., Paul, J. Y., Khanna, H., Smith, M., Peraza-Echeverria, S., ... & Harding, R. (2017).
286 Transgenic Cavendish bananas with resistance to Fusarium wilt tropical race 4. *Nature communications*,
287 8(1), 1496.
- 288 8 Esquinas-Alcázar, J. (2005). Protecting crop genetic diversity for food security: political, ethical and
289 technical challenges. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 6(12), 946.
- 290 9 Gepts, P. (2006). Plant genetic resources conservation and utilization. *Crop Science*, 46(5), 2278-2292.
- 291 10 Beissinger, T. M., Wang, L., Crosby, K., Durvasula, A., Hufford, M. B., & Ross-Ibarra, J. (2016). Recent
292 demography drives changes in linked selection across the maize genome. *Nature plants*, 2(7), 16084.
- 293 11 Hyten, D. L., Song, Q., Zhu, Y., Choi, I. Y., Nelson, R. L., Costa, J. M., ... & Cregan, P. B. (2006). Impacts
294 of genetic bottlenecks on soybean genome diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
295 103(45), 16666-16671.
- 296 12 Fuller, D. Q., Denham, T., Arroyo-Kalin, M., Lucas, L., Stevens, C. J., Qin, L., ... & Purugganan, M. D.
297 (2014). Convergent evolution and parallelism in plant domestication revealed by an expanding
298 archaeological record. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(17), 6147-6152.
- 299 13 Khush, G. S. (2001). Green revolution: the way forward. *Nature reviews genetics*, 2(10), 815.

14 Fu, Y. B. (2015). Understanding crop genetic diversity under modern plant breeding. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 128(11), 2131-2142.

15 Bevan, M. W., Uauy, C., Wulff, B. B., Zhou, J., Krasileva, K., & Clark, M. D. (2017). Genomic innovation for crop improvement. *Nature*, 543(7645), 346.

16 Bitocchi, E., Nanni, L., Bellucci, E., Rossi, M., Giardini, A., Zeuli, P. S., ... & Papa, R. (2012). Mesoamerican origin of the common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is revealed by sequence data. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(14), E788-E796.

17 Schmutz, J., McClean, P. E., Mamidi, S., Wu, G. A., Cannon, S. B., Grimwood, J., ... & Torres-Torres, M. (2014). A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. *Nature genetics*, 46(7), 707.

18 Bitocchi, E., Rau, D., Bellucci, E., Rodriguez, M., Murgia, M. L., Gioia, T., ... & Papa, R. (2017). Beans (*Phaseolus* spp.) as a model for understanding crop evolution. *Frontiers in plant science*, 8, 722.

19 Rendón-Anaya, M., Montero-Vargas, J. M., Saburido-Álvarez, S., Vlasova, A., Capella-Gutierrez, S., Ordaz-Ortiz, J. J., ... & Gabaldón, T. (2017). Genomic history of the origin and domestication of common bean unveils its closest sister species. *Genome biology*, 18(1), 60.

20 Fages, A., Hanghøj, K., Khan, N., Gaunitz, C., Seguin-Orlando, A., Leonardi, M., ... & Alfathan, A. H. (2019). Tracking five millennia of horse management with extensive ancient genome time series. *Cell*, 177(6), 1419-1435.

21 Castañeda-Álvarez, N. P., Khoury, C. K., Achicanoy, H. A., Bernau, V., Dempewolf, H., Eastwood, R. J., ... & Müller, J. V. (2016). Global conservation priorities for crop wild relatives. *Nature plants*, 2(4), 16022.

22 Singh, S. P.; P. Gepts & D. G. Debouck. 1991. Races of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*, Fabaceae). *Econ. Bot.* 45:379-396.

23 Williams, Verónica I. 2015. Formaciones sociales en el noroeste argentino. Variabilidad prehispánica en el surandino durante el Periodo de Desarrollos Regionales y el estado Inca. *Revista Haucaypata. Investigaciones arqueológicas del Tahuantinsuyo*. Nro. 9: 62-76. Lima.

24 Da Fonseca, R. R., Smith, B. D., Wales, N., Cappellini, E., Skoglund, P., Fumagalli, M., ... & Korneliusson, T. S. (2015). The origin and evolution of maize in the Southwestern United States. *Nature Plants*, 1(1), 14003.

25 Dubos, C., Stracke, R., Grotewold, E., Weisshaar, B., Martin, C., & Lepiniec, L. (2010). MYB transcription factors in *Arabidopsis*. *Trends in plant science*, 15(10), 573-581.

26 Rau, D., Murgia, M. L., Rodriguez, M., Bitocchi, E., Bellucci, E., Fois, D., ... & Marcolungo, L. (2019). Genomic dissection of pod shattering in common bean: mutations at non-orthologous loci at the basis of convergent phenotypic evolution under domestication of leguminous species. *The Plant Journal*, 97(4), 693-714.

27 Saitoh, K., Onishi, K., Mikami, I., Thidar, K., & Sano, Y. (2004). Allelic diversification at the C (OsC1) locus of wild and cultivated rice: nucleotide changes associated with phenotypes. *Genetics*, 168(2), 997-1007.

28 Estrada, O., Breen, J., Richards, S. M., & Cooper, A. (2018). Ancient plant DNA in the genomic era. *Nature plants*, 4(7), 394.

29 Brunson, K., & Reich, D. (2019). The promise of paleogenomics beyond our own species. *Trends in Genetics*.

30 Mascher, M., Schuenemann, V. J., Davidovich, U., Marom, N., Himmelbach, A., Hübner, S., ... & Schreiber, M. (2016). Genomic analysis of 6,000-year-old cultivated grain illuminates the domestication history of barley. *Nature Genetics*, 48(9), 1089.

31 Ramos-Madrigal, J., Smith, B. D., Moreno-Mayar, J. V., Gopalakrishnan, S., Ross-Ibarra, J., Gilbert, M. T. P., & Wales, N. (2016). Genome sequence of a 5,310-year-old maize cob provides insights into the early stages of maize domestication. *Current Biology*, 26(23), 3195-3201.

347 32 Wagner, S., Lagane, F., Seguin Orlando, A., Schubert, M., Leroy, T., Guichoux, E., ... & Billaud, Y.
348 (2018). High Throughput DNA sequencing of ancient wood. *Molecular ecology*, 27(5), 1138-1154.

349 33 Kistler, L., Maezumi, S. Y., De Souza, J. G., Przelomska, N. A., Costa, F. M., Smith, O., ... & Morrison,
350 R. R. (2018). Multiproxy evidence highlights a complex evolutionary legacy of maize in South America.
351 *Science*, 362(6420), 1309-1313.

352 34 Smith, O., Nicholson, W. V., Kistler, L., Mace, E., Clapham, A., Rose, P., ... & Jordan, D. (2019). A
353 domestication history of dynamic adaptation and genomic deterioration in sorghum. *Nature plants*, 5(4), 369.

354 35 Lema, V. 2015 Non domestication cultivation in the Andes: plant management and nurturing in the
355 Argentinean Northwest. *Vegetation History and archaeobotany* 24:143-150

356 36 Oliszewski, N. & P. Babot 2014. Procesos de selección del poroto común en los valles altos del noroeste
357 argentino en tiempos prehispánicos. Análisis micro y macroscópico de especímenes arqueobotánicos. En
358 Avances y desafíos metodológicos en arqueobotánica: miradas consensuadas y diálogos compartidos desde
359 Sudamérica: 301-324. C. Belmar y V. Lema (eds).

360 **SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS AND RESULTS**

361
362 TABLE OF CONTENTS

363
364 S1. Ancient beans collection and archaeological context
365 S2. Seed selection
366 S3. AMS radiocarbon dating
367 S4. Ancient DNA extraction and sequencing
368 S5. DNA damage assessment, mapping and SNP calling
369 S6. Modern accessions genomic data
370 S7. Analysis of genomic diversity
371 S8. Re-calibrating estimates of modern genomic diversity
372 S9. Structure of the genomic diversity in ancient beans
373 S10. Genes-focused scan for signature of ancient and/or recent selection
374 S11. References
375
376 Figure S1. Pictures of all 30 seeds (15 seeds for deep sequencing are also shown in Figure1, main text)
377 Figure S2. DNA damage pattern plots
378 Figure S3. Endogenous DNA preservation in the ancient bean seeds, as a function of the age of the sample and
379 the site location
380 Figure S4. Estimates of whole-genome diversity in pairs of modern seeds
381 Figure S5. Structure of genetic diversity in ancient and modern seeds as inferred by Admixture
382 Figure S6. Structure of genetic diversity in ancient and modern seeds as inferred by FineStructure
383
384 Table S1. Ancient seed information: AMS dates and basic sequencing statistics (provided as Supplementary
385 Excel file)
386 Table S2. Modern genome samples
387 Table S3. Estimates of whole-genome diversity in ancient and modern individuals
388 Table S4. Estimates of whole-genome diversity in pairs of modern seeds
389 Table S5. Results of selection scan using different accessions as outgroup (provided as Supplementary Excel
390 file)
391
392

S1. Ancient beans collections and archaeological context

Ancient bean seeds were selected from five museum collections in Argentina: Museo de La Plata (La Plata, Buenos Aires, Argentina); Museo de Historia Natural de San Rafael (Parque Mariano Moreno, San Rafael, Argentina); Instituto de Investigaciones y Museo Arqueológico “Prof. Mariano Gambier”, Universidad Nacional de San Juan (San Juan, Argentina); Instituto de Arqueología y Museo, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán (Tucumán, Argentina); Museo Arqueológico Pio Pablo Díaz (Cachi, Salta, Argentina).

Seeds were originally collected from nine archaeological sites located in different geographical regions of north and central-western Argentina (Figure 1a, main text; latitude and longitude are available on request). A brief description of each site is reported below. Codes for each seed, referring to Figure 1b (main text) and Figure S1, are reported in Table S1.

Los Morrillos, Río Salado, Cerro Calvario and Punta del Barro (San Juan sites)

The San Juan sites are in the two west Departments of the Province of San Juan, Iglesia (to the north) and Calingasta (to the south). They are in a southern Andean area that extends between 28°25'S and 32°33'S on the arid eastern slope of the Andes. This territory includes (from west to east) the "Cordillera de los Andes", two wide valleys between 1,900 and 1,600 m asl and another system of high altitude but of older age than the mountain range called "Precordillera de La Rioja, San Juan and Mendoza"; its maximum summits constitute the eastern limit of these departments. The first evidences of agricultural and breeding (*Lama glama*) activity did not originate in the area, but were introduced together with the domesticated species. The human groups that introduced and developed agriculture were located in favorable small sites corresponding to the exit of the cordilleran streams in the great plain of the high foothills (approximately 2,900 m asl). Such human groups cultivated in summer, gathered wild fruits and ñandu eggs, and entered the high Andean valleys for the hunt of the guanaco (*Lama guanicoe*). They mostly inhabited natural caves in the area. Examples are Los Morrillos (caves 2 and 3) and Río Salado. In the case of Los Morrillos caves, the thick level with agriculture overlapped with an older one of hunter gatherers. However, in the case of the Salado River, the cave only had a thick level with agriculture. The same groups, with some foreign influences, later descended towards the valleys at lower altitude and settled in open areas with the possibility of irrigation from small springs or streams. This is the case of the Cerro Calvario sites in the Calingasta Valley and Punta del Barro in the north of the Iglesia Valley (Basurero Norte, Basurero 3). Finally, the groups developed a large-scale agricultural system based on irrigation by means of large channels connected to the rivers, as is the case of the Punta del Barro “1° canal” (Gambier 1977, 1988, 2000, Michieli 2015, 2016, Roig 1977).

Pampa Grande

Pampa Grande is an archaeological locality including seven caves explored in the 1970s. It is located in the Las Pirguas mountain range (Salta province), between 2,500 and 3,000 m asl in a montane grassland and forest landscape corresponding to the highest zone of the *Yunga* biogeographical province. Ancient remains are mainly related to funerary contexts and few occupational areas, corresponding to Candelaria groups. The age of these occupations range between 400 – 3,000 yrs BP and corresponds to the first agro-pastoralist societies in the area. Remains related with subsistence include domestic, wild and hybrid plant species of *Cucurbita maxima* and *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *Phaseolus lunatus*, *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Zea mays*, *Arachis hypogaea*, *Prosopis* spp., *Geoffroea decorticans*, tubers, *Capsicum* spp. and other wild and weed forms were recovered. Remains of wild and domestic camelids were also recovered together with fish, rodent and bird bones (Baldini et al 2003, Lema 2010).

Puente del Diablo

Puente del Diablo (SSalLap20) archaeological site is a cave situated in the northern sector of the Calchaqui Valleys in a pre puna landscape (Salta province). It was excavated in the 1970s and includes both domestic and funerary contexts. This is a multicomponent site with burials dating back to 10,000 yrs BP, remains corresponding to burials and temporal occupations of hunter gatherers of ca. 3,000 yrs BP and also from ca. 2,000 yrs BP corresponding to the first agropastoralist societies in the area. From these last occupations, remains of *Cucurbita maxima*, *Prosopis* spp. and cactaceae were recovered together with remains of rodents (*Lagidium* sp.), *Cervidae* sp. and camelids (*Lama* sp.) (Lema, 2009, 2015).

450 **Gruta del Indio**

451 Gruta del Indio is an archaeological site located in Central Western Argentina, close to San Rafael city, in
452 Monte fitogeographic province, at 700 m asl. Gruta del Indio was occupied by hunter-gatherers since the
453 Pleistocene-Holocene transition ca. 10,500 years ago (Semper and Lagiglia 1968, Long et al 1999). These
454 hunter-gatherer occupations were in the cave until at least ca. 1,900 yrs BP, when a strong domestic plant
455 record appears in the archaeological record. This domestic archaeobotanical record include *Zea mays*,
456 *Chenopodium quinoa*, *Cucurbita*, and *Phaseolus vulgaris* seeds, and *Zea mays* remains, as canes and
457 starches (Semper and Lagiglia 1968, Lagiglia 1999). This domestic plant context (the oldest in the region) is
458 isolated in time and space, and is associated to human bones remains, indicating the use of the cave as a
459 cemetery. This archaeological context was called "Atuel II culture" (Semper and Lagiglia 1968) and all
460 radiocarbon dates are between 2,100 and 1,900 yrs BP (Gil et al 2014). The stable isotopes on human bone
461 suggest for this context that the *Zea mays* was probably part of the diet but not as major component (Gil et al
462 2010). The *Phaseolus vulgaris* beans were found in a grass container holding ca. 500 grs. of seeds. Another
463 grass container was full of *Chenopodium quinoa* seeds in the same archaeological mortuary context. After
464 Atuel II, there is few evidence of human occupation and temporally close to arrive of Spanish (ca. 500 yrs
465 BP).

466
467 **Punta de la Peña**

468 Punta de la Peña 9 is a residential site with stone-walled structures, blocky shelters, bedrock mortars, caches,
469 open air activity areas, and rock art that was continuously occupied by agro-pastoralist farmers between ca.
470 2,000 to 400 yrs BP -post-hispanic time (Babot et al 2006, López Campeny et al 2017, Somonte and Cohen
471 2006). It comprises processing, discard, workshop, funerary and ritual areas spatially segregated. The site is
472 located on the southern margin of the Las Pitas River at an elevation of 3,665 m asl in the Salty Puna desert
473 (Catamarca province). Subsistence was based on llama (*Lama glama*) herding, vicuña (*Vicugna vicugna*)
474 hunting, small-scale cultivation, and plant gathering and exchange. Wild, domesticated and weed plant macro
475 and micro-remains belong to a number of native and foreign taxa as *Chenopodium quinoa*, *Solanum*
476 *tuberosum*, *Oxalis tuberosa*, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Prosopis* sp., *Geoffroea decorticans*, *Zea mays*, *Amaranthus*
477 *caudatus*/A. *mantegazzianus*, *Lagenaria siceraria*, *Cucurbita* sp., *Canna edulis* and Cyperace and Cactaceae
478 species (Babot 2009, Rodríguez 2013). Alero 1 is a blocky shelter rich in well preserved plant remains from
479 the first millennium DC (1364 ± 20 cal. yrs BP), placed in the sector I of the Punta de la Peña 9 site (Babot et
480 al 2013).

481
482 **Cueva de los Corrales**

483 Cueva de Los Corrales 1 is an archaeological site located in the Quebrada de Los Corrales (El Infiernillo,
484 Tucumán) at 3,000 m asl in a dry shrub / grassland landscape, corresponding to the highest zone of the Yunga
485 biogeographical province (Oliszewski et al 2008). It is a cave that was occupied at different times throughout
486 2,400 years (ca. 3,000-600 yrs BP), from transitional time between hunter-gatherer groups to agro-pastoralist
487 groups until purely agro-pastoralist time (Oliszewski et al. 2018). Remains related to subsistence include
488 domestic camelids and dasiphodids; wild plants: *Prosopis nigra*, *Geoffroea decorticans*, *Celtis tala* and
489 *Trichocereus* sp.; cultivated plants: *Phaseolus vulgaris*, *Zea mays* and *Chenopodium quinoa* and wild
490 *Cucurbita maxima* (Oliszewski and Arreguez 2015, Oliszewski and Babot 2014, Lema 2017). The specimen
491 of *Phaseolus vulgaris* here presented has a date of ca. 650 yrs BP corresponding to the last occupations of
492 CC1.

493 **S2. Seeds selection**

494
495 Thirty ancient bean seeds (see Figure S1) were selected for preliminary screening based on the site locations
496 and archaeological context. All the seeds had a clear domestic phenotype. After visual inspection, we
497 selected seeds with different morphological features (e.g. coat color and dimension) and good preservation
498 (e.g., less visible cracks or damages in the outer coat).
499



500
501 **Figure S1.** Pictures of the 30 seeds sequenced at low coverage for preliminary screening of aDNA content and preservation.
502 Archaeological site information for each seed is reported in Supplementary Table S1.
503
504

S3. AMS radiocarbon dating

A fragment of ca. ¼ of the cotyledon was removed from each seed and used for AMS radiocarbon dating at CEDAD, Center of Applied Physics, Dating and Diagnostics, Department of Mathematics and Physics "Ennio De Giorgi", University of Salento. Conventional radiocarbon ages and calibrated ranges for 30 seeds are reported in Table S1. Calibration was performed using OxCal Ver. 3.10 based on atmospheric data (Reimer et al 2009). Distribution curves of the calibrated age for each seed, and additional details on the dating procedure are available on request.

S4. Ancient DNA extraction and sequencing

Total DNA extraction and library preparation were performed at the Molecular Anthropology and Paleogenetic Laboratory of the Department of Biology, University of Florence, using facilities that are exclusively dedicated to processing ancient DNA and following all the necessary precautions to minimize contamination from exogenous DNA. One sample (09-CM) was extracted and a library built at the ancient DNA laboratory of the University of Oslo. Negative controls were included in each step of the molecular analysis. All seeds were photographed prior to sampling (Figure S1). After removing the cuticle, each seed was UV irradiated in a crosslinker for 45 minutes. The embryo and a portion of cotyledon were then pulverized by hand with mortar and pestle that had been decontaminated with bleach and UV. Half of the powder, was used for DNA extraction following a high-salt CTAB extraction protocol according to the Small Fragment Protocol of Qiagen DNeasy *mericon* Food Handbook. The amount of powder used in the DNA extraction ranged between 48 and 240 mg, depending on the size of the seed. Food Lysis Buffer was substituted with a homemade CTAB high salt extraction buffer according to the following recipe: 100mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 25mM EDTA pH 8, 4M NaCl, 2% CTAB, 0.3% β-mercaptoethanol. An aliquot of each extract was converted into a sequencing library with 7 bp P7 indexes according to Meyer & Kircher 2010. No UDG treatment was performed. The fill-in reaction was split in 4 aliquots that were amplified separately in 100µl reaction mixes with 0.25mM dNTPs mix (New England Biolabs), 0.30 mg/ml BSA (New England Biolabs), 2.5U Agilent Pfu Turbo Polymerase (Agilent Technologies) and 0.4µM of each primer. The following indexing PCR profile was performed by default on each library: 95°C 2', [95°C 30", 58°C 30", 72°C 1'] x 14, 72°C 10'. DNA quantification and quality control were performed on a Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer using the Agilent DNA 1000 kit, following manufacturer's instructions. Libraries of extraction blanks all showed flat BioAnalyzer profiles, but were included in the pool of the first sequencing round (see below). The libraries were sequenced at the Norwegian Sequencing Centre, University of Oslo, Norway, where all samples were pooled in equimolar ratios and paired-end sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2500. First, samples were screened for DNA preservation by low-coverage sequencing. Based on the results of this first sequencing run and on sample characteristics (age, location, phenotype, mapping quality, age-related damage patterns), a subset of 18 samples was re-pooled and sequenced further on four HiSeq2500 lanes. Additionally, ~~two-one~~ samples (Cachi ~~and S328~~) ~~were-was~~ sequenced on one lane each on the HiSeq 4000 platform. One sample (09-CM) was sequenced on the HiSeq 2500 in a different pool from all other beans. Based on the final results we further selected 15 samples with coverage higher than 4X for downstream population genomic analyses (Table S1).


S5. DNA damage assessment, mapping and SNP calling

Paleomix v.1.2.12 (Schubert et al 2014), specifically designed for aDNA, was employed for the filtering and mapping of aDNA data. Adapter trimming, collapsing of overlapping mate-pairs, trimming of low quality bases and removal of reads shorter than 25 bp was performed with AdapterRemoval (Lindgreen et al 2012). Filtered reads were aligned to the *Phaseolus vulgaris* reference genome v2.1 (Schmutz et al 2014), downloaded from Phytozome (<https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html>) using bwa aln (Li and Durbin 2009) and retaining reads with mapping quality >= 25. PCR duplicates were filtered using Paleomix rmdup_duplicates option and post-mortem damage was quantified in each sample (Figure S2) using MapDamage2.0 (Jónsson et al 2013). Indel-realigned bam files were generated using the GATK Indel realigner (McKenna et al 2010). Realigned and filtered bam files were then indexed and sorted with Samtools-0.1.19 (Li et al 2009).

562 A descriptive evaluation of endogenous DNA preservation in the ancient bean seeds, as a function of the age
 563 of the sample and the site location is reported in Figure S3. Altitude, and not age, seems to be a good
 564 predictor of deamination pattern.

565
 566 All following analyses including both ancient and modern (see section “Modern accessions genomic data”)
 567 samples were based on transversions only, since transitions are more affected by post-mortem damages than
 568 transversion and could reflect DNA damage rather than true DNA variation (e.g., Hofreiter et al 2012). The
 569 following regions were analyzed separately for the Admixture and NeighborJoining analyses (see below): *i)*
 570 *callable* regions, defined as the whole genome excluding repeated regions; *ii)* *neutral* regions defined as
 571 callable regions excluding genes (both exons and introns) and 10kb upstream and downstream each gene and
 572 *iii)* *exons*. The estimation of Watterson’s Theta (θ_w) and the FineStructure analysis were performed on
 573 *callable* regions only (see below).

574



575
 576 **Figure S2.** Ancient DNA damage plots for the 15 seeds included in the final analyses. Plots were generated using MapDamage 2.0.
 577
 578




Figure S3. Endogenous DNA preservation in the 30 ancient bean seeds of the preliminary screening. a) Proportion of reads mapping to the common bean reference genome vs. age of the samples; b) Average read length vs. age of the samples; c) Misincorporation pattern at 5' end vs. age of the samples; d) Misincorporation pattern at 5' end vs. site locations."

S6. Modern accessions genomic data

Genomic data from modern domesticated and wild common bean samples were included in our analyses (Table S2). In particular, we included: *i*) 12 modern domestic common bean genomes from both Andean and Mesoamerican domestication gene pools, sequenced by the ERA-CAPS funded project "BeanAdapt: The Genomics of Adaptation during Crop Expansion in Bean" (unpublished; provided by the coordinator of the project, Prof. Roberto Papa, Marche Polytechnic University); *ii*) two published genomes from wild *P. vulgaris* (G19901 from Argentina and G24594 from Chiapas, Mexico; Rendón-Anaya et al 2017); *iii*) one published genome of the wild relative *P. hintonii* to be used as outgroup in our selection scan (Rendón-Anaya et al 2017). Trimmomatic v0.36 (Bolger et al 2014) was used to remove poor-quality regions from downloaded Illumina reads, discarding nucleotides having an average base quality score less than 20 across a 5bp window. Reads longer than 35bp were mapped to the *P. vulgaris* reference genome v2.1 in the paired-end mode using bwa mem (Li and Durbin 2009) with the parameter "-M". The quality of bam alignments was improved removing PCR duplicates with Picard (software.broadinstitute.org) and performing the Indel realignment procedure, as described above for ancient samples. Depth of coverage was estimated in each sample using Samtools depth.

609 **Table S2.** Modern genomes included in our analyses. Gene pool indicates to which gene pool the sample belongs; AD: Andean
610 domesticated, MD: Mesoamerican domesticated, AW: Andean wild, MW: Mesoamerican wild.
611

Sample ID	Manuscript ID	Species	Wild/ Domestic	Gene pool	Country/Sampling site	Source
ECa005	CHI1	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Chile	Bean Adapt Project
ECa006	BOL1	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Bolivia	Bean Adapt Project
ECa016	CHI2	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Chile	Bean Adapt Project
ECa038	PER1	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Perú	Bean Adapt Project
ECa040	ARG1	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Argentina	Bean Adapt Project
ECa041	ARG2	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Argentina	Bean Adapt Project
ECa086	MEX1	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	MD	México	Bean Adapt Project
ECa095	MEX2	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	MD	México	Bean Adapt Project
ECa104	MEX3	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	MD	México	Bean Adapt Project
ECa332	ARG3	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Argentina	Bean Adapt Project
ECa330	ARG4	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Argentina	Bean Adapt Project
ECa333	BOL2	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	D	AD	Bolivia	Bean Adapt Project
G24594	MEX-W	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	W	MW	México	SRX540122
G19901	ARG-W	<i>P. vulgaris</i>	W	AW	Argentina	SRX2537721
<i>P. hintonii</i>	-	<i>P. hintonii</i>	W	-	México	SRX2537735

612
613
614 **S7. Analysis of genomic diversity**
615

616 To test whether there has been a decrease in genetic diversity due to the history of domestication, we
617 compared levels of diversity between ancient and modern common bean seeds both as individual- and as
618 population-level diversity. Genetic diversity was measured estimating Watterson’s Theta (θ_w) in non-
619 overlapping windows of 10kb, taking into account per-individual inbreeding coefficients and hence
620 accounting for possible deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, as proposed by Vieira et al 2013. This
621 is a two-step procedure: first, ANGSD v0.916 (Korneliussen et al 2014) was used to call a high confidence
622 SNP set based on GATK genotype likelihoods (-GL 2) including only alignment positions having an
623 associated base and mapping quality score equal or higher than 20 and 30, respectively. To increase the
624 reliability of the called set, we set the baq computation (-baq 1), adjusted the mapping quality in case of
625 excessive mismatches (-C 50), and retained only positions having a high probability to be polymorphic (-
626 SNP_pvalue 1e-6), without any missing data. From this set, we randomly sampled 2,000 SNPs without
627 replacement and we used ANGSD to recompute the genotype likelihoods at these positions (-doGLf 3)
628 applying the same quality filters. The program ngsF (Vieira et al 2013) was then used to estimate the
629 individual genome-wide inbreeding coefficient for each accession using default parameters, except for the –
630 min_epsilon option that was decreased to 10⁻⁹. The SNP sampling and the estimation of inbreeding processes
631 were repeated five times in order to obtain five independent estimates of the inbreeding coefficient for each
632 individual. The average across replicates was then used as point estimate of each individual inbreeding
633 coefficient.
634

635 We then estimated a site frequency spectrum, using the command -doSaf 2 in ANGSD, to calculate the per-
636 site posterior probabilities of the site allele frequencies based on individual genotype likelihoods accounting
637 for the previously estimated inbreeding coefficients. Genotype likelihoods were calculated with the GATK
638 method (-GL 2 option), and a folded spectrum was used (*i.e.*, unknown ancestral state, option –fold 1).
639 Options -minQ 20 -minMapQ 30 -setMaxDepth 50 were applied to filter low quality bases and positions
640 showing excessive coverage, while the option -sites was set to filter for *callable* regions. The option -
641 noTrans 1 was applied to all the above steps to systematically remove transitions from the analysis. The
642 output of this command line was then used as input for the calculation of per-site thetas, using the command
643 -doThetas 1. Window-based theta estimates were calculated using the command thetaStat do_stat. Windows
644 having a proportion of usable sites less than 50% were discarded.
645

Table S3. Estimates of diversity in ancient and modern accession of common bean from Central (Meso) and South (Andean) America. The number of 10kb-windows with at least 50% of the region covered is reported.

Manuscript ID	Gene pool	Country	10kb-windows	θ_w mean	θ_w median
CH11	Andean	Chile	24486	1.29E-05	9.62E-08
BOL1	Andean	Bolivia	24686	1.56E-05	0
CH12	Andean	Chile	24493	1.18E-05	1.73E-07
PER1	Andean	Perú	24597	9.62E-06	8.87E-08
ARG1	Andean	Argentina	24636	1.51E-05	1.18E-08
ARG2	Andean	Argentina	23827	7.44E-06	2.98E-07
MEX1	Meso	México	21749	4.66E-05	9.05E-07
MEX2	Meso	México	20071	2.42E-05	1.06E-06
MEX3	Meso	México	20965	3.86E-05	5.76E-07
ARG3	Andean	Argentina	23674	8.96E-06	1.40E-06
ARG4	Andean	Bolivia	24272	1.67E-05	2.35E-06
BOL2	Andean	Argentina	24491	1.99E-05	4.43E-07
15-CC	Andean	Argentina	15865	3.02E-05	1.72E-05
09-CM	Andean	Argentina	13284	3.78E-04	3.44E-04
01-PD	Andean	Argentina	20897	5.48E-05	5.41E-07
03-GI	Andean	Argentina	15241	1.79E-04	1.50E-04
07-PP	Andean	Argentina	15303	3.40E-05	1.03E-05
14-CM	Andean	Argentina	9372	1.12E-04	9.15E-05
04-CM	Andean	Argentina	9892	8.31E-05	6.10E-05
10-CM	Andean	Argentina	8422	1.80E-04	1.50E-04
08-CM	Andean	Argentina	13130	6.91E-05	4.27E-05
12-CM	Andean	Argentina	16859	1.15E-04	9.23E-05
11-CM	Andean	Argentina	18346	5.08E-05	1.25E-05
13-CM	Andean	Argentina	22600	8.76E-05	3.10E-05
02-CM	Andean	Argentina	21224	4.99E-05	8.47E-06
06-PB	Andean	Argentina	19426	5.51E-04	5.17E-04
05-CM	Andean	Argentina	17519	1.85E-04	1.58E-04
ARG-W	Andean wild	Argentina	24255	4.08E-05	1.93E-06
MEX-W	Meso wild	México	22583	1.51E-03	9.25E-04

S8. Re-calibrating estimates of modern genomic diversity

Genomic diversity of each modern accession was likely reduced by the protocols commonly used before whole-genome sequencing, which included two cycles of selfing reproduction after collecting the seeds from the seed bank. In addition, previous maintenance practices applied at each seed bank could have also affected genome-wide diversity. To test whether such very recent events could be the cause of the observed pattern of lower diversity in modern seeds as compared to ancient seeds, we re-estimated whole-genome diversity in pairs of seeds from closely related cultivars. The rationale behind this test is the following. Diversity loss caused by recent seed bank or sequencing protocols occurred at random along the genome in each cultivar, so that each cultivar should have lost a different fraction of its diversity. Taking together two (or more) closely related cultivars should restore most of the variation prior to the stocking into the seed banks. Of course, this estimate is also affected by the possible genetic divergence between the cultivars used in each pair.

Estimates of diversity (θ_w) in pairs of cultivars should better represent the single cultivar variation before seed bank and sequencing protocols (or even over-estimate, due to cultivar genetic divergence). We selected the two modern Chilean cultivars, already included in all our analyses (see Table S2) and added three cultivars from the same country sequenced within the ERA-CAPS funded project “BeanAdapt: The Genomics of Adaptation during Crop Expansion in Bean” (unpublished; provided by the coordinator of the project, Prof. Roberto Papa, Marche Polytechnic University). We estimated θ_w in ten random pairs of seeds. Specifically, we compared average θ_w estimated in three groups of accessions: 10 pairs of Chilean accessions,

12 single modern accessions from South America, and in 15 ancient seeds. Alongside individual variation, we also compared the distribution of θ_w across all 10kb-windows from each group.

As expected, genome wide diversity in pairs of seeds is higher than in single seeds (Table S4). However, average modern seed pairs diversity is still more than three times lower (Mann-Whitney U test, $P = 0.003$) than that estimated in ancient seeds (Table S3). The same pattern appears when considering the summarized diversity across all 10kb-windows in each group (Figure S4).

Table S4. Estimates of diversity in five accessions from Chile (two of them were also used in the main analyses) and in the ten pairs of Chilean samples. The number of 10kb-windows with at least 50% of the region covered is reported.

Manuscript ID	10kb-windows	θ_w mean	θ_w median
CHI1 (ECa005)	24486	1.29E-05	9.62E-08
CHI2 (ECa016)	24493	1.18E-05	1.73E-07
CHI3 (ECa004)	24524	1.37E-05	6.18E-08
CHI4 (ECa018)	24609	1.57E-05	3.32E-08
CHI5 (ECa020)	24541	1.47E-05	9.38E-08
CHI3.CHI1	27870	4.33E-05	2.89E-07
CHI3.CHI2	27875	4.24E-05	4.43E-07
CHI3.CHI4	27912	3.96E-05	1.95E-07
CHI3.CHI5	27891	4.63E-05	3.46E-07
CHI1.CHI2	27856	4.47E-05	4.89E-07
CHI1.CHI4	27903	5.32E-05	3.14E-07
CHI1.CHI5	27889	4.32E-05	3.69E-07
CHI2.CHI4	27916	4.57E-05	4.07E-07
CHI2.CHI5	27888	3.59E-05	4.49E-07
CHI4.CHI5	27930	5.61E-05	4.56E-07

683
684





Figure S4. Estimates of whole-genome diversity in 15 ancient seeds (Ancient), in 12 modern accession from South America (Andean), and in 10 pairs of cultivars from the same region (Chile). Distribution of θ_w are based on all 10kb-windows across all samples in each group.

S9. Structure of the genomic diversity in ancient beans


A haploid calling on all samples (15 ancient, 15 modern) was performed on each chromosome, separately, using ANGSD 0.916 (Korneliussen et al 2014). We set -minQ 20 and -minMapQ 30 to exclude low quality bases and low mapping quality bases; -only_proper_pairs 0 to use all reads (not only reads with both mapping pairs); -dohaplocall 2 to select the most frequent base in the haploid calling (*i.e.*, not a random one); -doCounts 1 to count the bases at each sites after filters; -minMinor 2 to exclude singletons and -noTrans 1 to

698 exclude transitions. The output of the haploid calling was then masked according to the three genomic
699 regions as defined above (*callable*, *neutral* and *exons*) using *Bedtools intersect* (Quinlan and Hall 2010).
700
701 The main components of the genetic diversity and the eventual admixture proportion in all samples were
702 inferred by *Admixture* analyses (Alexander and Novembre 2009). This analysis was performed using the
703 haploid data after combining all chromosomes in one file. As *Admixture* input is binary *Plink* (.bed) or
704 ordinary *Plink* (.ped), the haploid data was converted in tped format (*Plink* transposed text genotype table),
705 using the haploToPlink function implemented in *ANGSD*. Non biallelic sites were excluded. This file was
706 then converted to bed format, using `--make-bed` option in *plink-1.90* (Chang et al 2015). The option `--bp-`
707 `space 50000` was added in order to select one SNP every 50kb (thinning) and minimize the effect of linkage
708 disequilibrium in the *Admixture* analysis. We tested *k* values from 1 to 15, and cross validation error
709 (Alexander and Lange 2011) was calculated for each *k* value, adding `--cv` flag to the command line. Cross
710 validation plots and admixture plots (for each *K* value) were finally created in *R*.
711



712
713 **Figure S5.** Structure of the genetic diversity inferred using three different genomic regions (*callable*: solid line, *exons*: large dashed
714 line, *neutral*: small dashed line). For all genomic regions *k* = 3 represented the nest fitting model. Ancient domesticated Andean (red),
715 modern domesticated Andean (blue) and Mesoamerican (pale green), wild Andean (pale blue) and Mesoamerican (pale green).
716
717 The output of the haploid calling masked according to the three genomic regions was also used to calculate
718 per chromosome pairwise genetic distances across all modern and ancient individuals using a custom *awk*
719 script (available upon request). Per-chromosome distances were then combined into a single genome-wide
720 distance matrix, which was used to reconstruct a Neighbor-Joining tree using the *ape* package in *R* (Paradis
721 et al 2004). Trees were visualized with FigTree (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>). As in the
722 Admixture analysis, the three genomic regions produced almost identical results (the Neighbor-Joining tree
723 based on callable region only is presented in Figure 1d in the main text).
724
725 Population structure was also inferred using the method implemented in FineStructure (Lawson et al 2012).
726 The pattern of similarity and dissimilarity between haploidized individuals can be visualized by a distance
727 matrix, and discrete populations can be separated by a cladogram. This method is able to capture at least as
728 much information as that captured by other exploratory analyses such as PCA and Structure, but it also has
729 the advantage to help identifying the pattern of population structure and to combine the information at linked

730 markers. The latter advantage is of course reduced, or absent, in our haploidized data set. We used Plink to
 731 filter the dataset in order to exclude any variable position with missing data (not allowed in FineStructure)
 732 and the plink2chromopainter.pl conversion script to prepare the input file to run the FineStructure pipeline.
 733 In the first step of the pipeline, haplotype blocks are “painted” (Li and Stephens 2003) according to the
 734 nearest (in terms of genetic distance) individual in the sample (chromosome painting step). Painting results
 735 are then employed to build a distance matrix summarizing the blocks similarity between all pairs of
 736 individuals. The distance matrix is, in turn, the basis of a Bayesian clustering approach aiming at partitioning
 737 the sample into K homogeneous groups.



738
 739 **Figure S6.** Finestructure analysis based on callable genomic region (483885 SNPs). Ancient domesticated Andean (red), modern
 740 domesticated Andean (blue) and Mesoamerican (green), wild Andean (pale blue) and Mesoamerican (pale green).

741
 742 **S10. Genes-focused scan for signature of ancient and/or recent selection**

743
 744 A gene-by-gene selection scan was performed to identify genes targeted by artificial selection during early
 745 and/or late stages of domestication. A gene underlying a desirable trait is expected to have undergone one or
 746 more selective sweeps targeting specific alleles coupled with recurrent hitchhiking at linked neutral sites.
 747 Selected genes will then accumulate variants which are either directly advantageous or got fixed because of
 748 locally low effective population size due to linked selection (Slotte 2014, Renaut and Rieseberg 2015,
 749 Beissinger et al 2016). We then expect to find an excess of fixed differences at genes under selection when
 750 samples of pre (*i.e.*, wild) and post domestication populations are compared.

751
 752 Leveraging our ancient data, we tested each of the 27,000 genes for a significant enrichment of fixed
 753 alternative alleles ([using again only transversions](#)) in two possible configurations. The first configuration is

AS (Ancient Selection), indicated by the topology in the left panel in Figure 2A (main text), where all the ancient + modern Chilean samples have the same allele, and the wild samples (one wild Andean *P. vulgaris* and one *P. hintonii* as wild outgroup) have the alternative allele. We expect AS to be frequent within genes that experienced changes before 2,500 years ago. The second configuration is RS (Recent Selection), indicated by the topology in the right panel in Figure 2B (main text), where all modern Chilean samples have the same allele, and all the other samples (ancient + wild samples) have the alternative alleles. We expect RS to be frequent within genes that experienced changes in last 600 years, i.e., more recently than the estimated age interval for the ancient seeds.

Clearly, the enrichment of AS or RS allelic configurations (corresponding to the different topologies based on fixed differences) depends on many factors beside the selection dynamic, such as the sample sizes and the drift intensity in different time intervals. We therefore used a randomization procedure that keeps constant the groups, their sample sizes, and the total number of SNPs, but randomly assigns the SNPs to the genes. The relative frequency of SNPs with fixed differences in AS and RS topologies, computed after this randomization, becomes our null hypothesis, which is used to test if the observed frequencies of such topologies in each gene are higher than expected by chance.

In particular, we applied the following protocol: 1) for 27,000 genomic region each identified by a gene and 10 kb before and after it, we counted the proportion of fixed differences in the AS and the RS configuration; 2) we randomly re-assigned each SNP found in the real dataset (including the modern seeds from Chile, the ancient seeds from Argentina, the wild seed and the outgroup) to the 27,000 regions; 3) we estimated from different random assignment runs the proportion of AS and RS configurations expected by chance in a “average” genomic region; 4) we used the proportion estimated in point 3 as the null hypothesis, and applied a binomial test to each proportion observed in the real genes (point 1) to compute a *p*-value of significant enrichment of that particular configuration; 5) we converted the *p*-values in *q*-values (False Discovery Rate, FDR) using the *python* function *qvalue.py* (<https://github.com/nfusi/qvalue/blob/master/qvalue/qvalue.py>) based on (Storey and Tibshirani, 2003); 6) only genomic regions with a FDR<0.001 were initially considered as genes significantly enriched in a particular topology; 7) finally, we applied an additional conservative criterion to filter each list of AS and RS enriched genes, and retained only those genes with numbers of fixed differences within the coding region and a 1kb region upstream and downstream the gene higher than a threshold defined by the 99-percentile of all the 27,000 genes.

The procedure we applied is highly conservative, and we likely missed genes where the selection process may have modified the allelic compositions. It allows however to significantly reduce the rate of false positives, and to correctly estimate the relative proportion of genes with relevant modifications before and after the time interval defined by the ages of the seeds we analyzed, respectively.

The two final lists of genes showing signature of AS and/or RS were grouped into functional clusters using the web-based software David (Huang et al 2009). For this analysis, we could only use genes with a known ortholog in *A. thaliana*. We used the functional enrichment score estimated by David only to rank the functional clusters found in the two lists of genes without excluding any cluster. Analyses were replicated using the genome of a wild Mesoamerican *P. vulgaris* as outgroup instead of *P. hintonii* (Table S5).

796 **S11. References**

- 797 Alexander, D. H., & Lange, K. (2011). Enhancements to the ADMIXTURE algorithm for individual ancestry
798 estimation. *BMC bioinformatics*, 12(1), 246.
- 799 Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J., & Lange, K. (2009). Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated
800 individuals. *Genome research*, 19(9), 1655-1664.
- 801 Babot P, Aguirre MG, Hocsman S. Aportes del sitio Punta de la Peña 9 (Puna de Catamarca) acerca de la
802 producción y usos prehispánicos de quinua. Simposio Internacional de la Quinua, 28-29 de Noviembre de
803 2013; San Salvador de Jujuy. Universidad Nacional de Jujuy, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria,
804 Centro de Investigaciones y Transferencia de Jujuy (CIT, CONICET); 2013. p. 65-66.
- 805 Babot, P., C. A. Aschero, S. Hocsman, C. Haros, L. González Baroni y S. Urquiza 2006 Ocupaciones
806 agropastoriles en los sectores intermedios de Antofagasta de la Sierra (Catamarca): un análisis desde Punta
807 de la Peña 9.I. Comechingonia 9: 57-78.
- 808 Babot, Pilar. 2009. La cocina, el taller y el ritual: explorando las trayectorias del procesamiento vegetal en el
809 Noroeste argentino. *Darwiniana* 47(1): 7-30.
- 810 Baldini M., E. Baffi, M. Salaberry y M. Torres 2003 Candelaria: una aproximación desde un conjunto de
811 sitios localizados entre los cerros de Las Pirguas y El Alto del Rodeo (Dto. Guachitas, Salta, Argentina). En:
812 Ortiz G. y Ventura B (Eds) La mitad verde del mundo andino. Investigaciones arqueológicas en la vertiente
813 oriental de los Andes y las Tierras Bajas de Bolivia y Argentina, pp:131-151 Ed. FHycS- UNJU
- 814 Beissinger, T. M., Wang, L., Crosby, K., Durvasula, A., Hufford, M. B., & Ross-Ibarra, J. (2016). Recent
815 demography drives changes in linked selection across the maize genome. *Nature plants*, 2(7), 16084.
- 816 Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data.
817 *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2114-2120.
- 818 Chang, C. C., Chow, C. C., Tellier, L. C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S. M., & Lee, J. J. (2015). Second-generation
819 PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience*, 4(1), 7.
- 820 Gambier, Mariano. 1977. La cultura de Ansilta. IIAM UNSJ. San Juan.
- 821 Gambier, Mariano. 1988. La fase cultural Punta del Barro. IIAM UNSJ. San Juan.
- 822 Gambier, Mariano. 2000. Prehistoria de San Juan. 2.ed. Ansilta Ed. San Juan.
- 823 Gil, Adolfo F., Gustavo A. Neme and Robert H. Tykot 2010 Isótopos estables y consumo de maíz en el
824 Centro Occidente Argentino: Tendencias temporales y espaciales. *Chungara* 42(2):497-513.
- 825 Gil, Adolfo F., Miguel A. Giardina, Gustavo A. Neme and Andrew Ugan 2014. Demografía humana e
826 incorporación de cultígenos en el centro occidente argentino: Explorando tendencias en las fechas
827 radiocarbónicas. *Revista Española de Antropología Americana* 44(2):523-553.
- 828 Hofreiter, M., Collins, M., & Stewart, J. R. (2012). Ancient biomolecules in Quaternary palaeoecology.
829 *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 33, 1-13.
- 830 Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID
831 Bioinformatics Resources. *Nature Protoc.* 2009;4(1):44-57.
- 832 Jónsson, H., Ginolhac, A., Schubert, M., Johnson, P. L., & Orlando, L. (2013). mapDamage2. 0: fast
833 approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics*, 29(13), 1682-1684.
- 834 Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: analysis of next generation sequencing
835 data. *BMC bioinformatics*, 15(1), 356.
- 836 Lagiglia, H. 1999 Nuevos fechados radiocarbónicos para los agricultores incipientes del Atuel. *Actas del XII*
837 *Congreso Nacional de Arqueología Argentina*, edited by C. Diez Marín, pp. 3:239-250. La Plata-Buenos
838 Aires.
- 839 Lawson, D. J., Hellenthal, G., Myers, S., & Falush, D. (2012). Inference of population structure using dense
840 haplotype data. *PLoS genetics*, 8(1), e1002453.

841 Lema, V. 2009 Domesticación vegetal y grados de dependencia ser humano-planta en el desarrollo cultural
842 pre hispánico del Noroeste argentino. PhD Thesis, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina

843 Lema, V. 2010 Confluencia y emergencia: domesticación y prácticas de manejo del entorno vegetal en la
844 frontera. En: Bárcena R., Chiavazza H. Actas del XVII Congreso Nacional de Arqueología Argentina –
845 Arqueología Argentina en el Centenario de la Revolución de Mayo. T. III: 1043-1048

846 Lema, V. 2015 Non domestication cultivation in the Andes: plant management and nurturing in the
847 Argentinean Northwest. *Vegetation History and archaeobotany* 24:143-150

848 Lema, V. 2017. Geografías y prácticas: plantas que circulan, que se quedan y que se van para no volver. En
849 Arqueología de la vertiente oriental surandina: interacción macro-regional, materialidades, economía y
850 ritualidad. B. Ventura, G. Ortiz y B. Cremonte (comps). Editado por la Sociedad Argentina de Antropología.
851 Buenos Aires. Pp. 267-276.

852 Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform.
853 *bioinformatics*, 25(14), 1754-1760.

854 Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., ... & Durbin, R. (2009). The sequence
855 alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25(16), 2078-2079.

856 Lindgreen, S. (2012). AdapterRemoval: easy cleaning of next-generation sequencing reads. *BMC research*
857 *notes*, 5(1), 337.

858 Li, N., & Stephens, M. (2003). Modeling linkage disequilibrium and identifying recombination hotspots
859 using single-nucleotide polymorphism data. *Genetics*, 165(4), 2213-2233.

860 Long, A., P. Martin and H. Lagiglia 1998 Ground sloth extinction and human occupation at Gruta del Indio,
861 Argentina. *Radiocarbon* 40:693-700.

862 López Campeny, S.M.L., A.S. Romano, C.A. Aschero 2017. Remodelando el Formativo. Aportes para una
863 discusión de los procesos locales en las comunidades agropastoriles tempranas de Antofagasta de la Sierra
864 (Catamarca, Argentina). En: Crónicas materiales precolombinas. Arqueología de los primeros pobladores del
865 Noroeste argentino, pp. 313-353. Sociedad Argentina de Antropología, Buenos Aires.)

866 McKenna, A., Hanna, M., Banks, E., Sivachenko, A., Cibulskis, K., Kernysky, A., ... & DePristo, M. A.
867 (2010). The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA
868 sequencing data. *Genome research*, 20(9), 1297-1303.

869 Meyer, M., & Kircher, M. (2010). Illumina sequencing library preparation for highly multiplexed target
870 capture and sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 2010(6), pdb-prot5448.

871 Michieli, Catalina Teresa. 2015. Arqueología de Angualasto: historia, ruinas y cóndores. FFHA UNSJ. San
872 Juan.

873 Michieli, Catalina Teresa. 2016. Aprovechamiento del agua en las instalaciones “Aguada” de la Provincia de
874 San Juan: nuevas evidencias. Anti, perspectivas y proyectos culturales de América. CIP. Buenos Aires. p. 85-
875 98.

876 Oliszewski, N.; Martínez, J.G.; Arreguez, G.; Gramajo Bühler, M. y E. Naharro. 2018 “La transición” vista
877 desde los valles intermontanos del noroeste argentino: nuevos datos de la Quebrada de Los Corrales (El
878 Infiernillo, Tucumán, Argentina). *Chungara Revista de antropología Chilena* 50 (1): 71-86.

879 Oliszewski, N.; Martínez, J. y M. Caria. 2008. Ocupaciones prehispánicas de altura: el caso de Cueva de los
880 Corrales 1 (El Infiernillo, Tafi del Valle, Tucumán). *Relaciones de la Sociedad Argentina de Antropología* 33:
881 209-221.

882 Oliszewski, N. y G. Arreguez. 2015. Los recursos vegetales alimenticios de la Quebrada de Los Corrales en
883 El Infiernillo, Tucumán, durante el 1º milenio d.C. *Comechingonia* 19(2): 111-140, *Revista de Arqueología*,
884 *Dossier Arqueobotánica*. Córdoba. ISSN 0326-7911.

885 Oliszewski, N. y P. Babot 2014. Procesos de selección del poroto común en los valles altos del noroeste
886 argentino en tiempos prehispánicos. Análisis micro y macroscópico de especímenes arqueobotánicos. En

887 Avances y desafíos metodológicos en arqueobotánica: miradas consensuadas y diálogos compartidos desde
888 Sudamérica: 301-324. C. Belmar y V. Lema (eds).

889 Paradis, E., Claude, J., & Strimmer, K. (2004). APE: analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language.
890 Bioinformatics, 20(2), 289-290.

891 Quinlan, A. R., & Hall, I. M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features.
892 Bioinformatics, 26(6), 841-842.

893 Reimer PJ, Baillie MGL, Bard E, Bayliss A, Beck JW, Blackwell PG, Bronk Ramsey C, Buck CE, Burr GS,
894 Edwards RL, Friedrich M, Grootes PM, Guilderson TP, Hajdas I, Heaton TJ, Hogg AG, Hughen KA, Kaiser
895 KF, Kromer B, McCormac FG, Manning SW, Reimer RW, Richards DA, Southon JR, Talamo S, Turney
896 CSM, van der Plicht J, Weyhenmeyer CE. 2009. IntCal09 and Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curves,
897 0–50,000 years cal BP. Radiocarbon 51(4):1111–50.

898 Renaut, S., & Rieseberg, L. H. (2015). The accumulation of deleterious mutations as a consequence of
899 domestication and improvement in sunflowers and other compositae crops. Molecular biology and evolution,
900 32(9), 2273-2283.

901 Rendón-Anaya, M., Montero-Vargas, J. M., Saburido-Álvarez, S., Vlasova, A., Capella-Gutierrez, S., Ordaz-
902 Ortiz, J. J., ... & Gabaldón, T. (2017). Genomic history of the origin and domestication of common bean
903 unveils its closest sister species. Genome biology, 18(1), 60.

904 Rodríguez, M.F. 2013. Los grupos humanos y las plantas en la Puna meridional argentina: arqueobotánica de
905 Antofagasta de la Sierra. Intersecciones en Antropología 14: 315-339.

906 Roig, Fidel A. Frutos y semillas arqueológicos de Calingasta, San Juan. La cultura de Ansilta. IIAM UNSJ.
907 San Juan. p. 215-250.

908 Schmutz, J., McClean, P. E., Mamidi, S., Wu, G. A., Cannon, S. B., Grimwood, J., ... & Torres-Torres, M.
909 (2014). A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. Nature
910 genetics, 46(7), 707.

911 Schubert, M., Ermini, L., Der Sarkissian, C., Jónsson, H., Ginolhac, A., Schaefer, R., ... & Willerslev, E.
912 (2014). Characterization of ancient and modern genomes by SNP detection and phylogenomic and
913 metagenomic analysis using PALEOMIX. Nature protocols, 9(5), 1056.

914 Semper, J. and H. Lagiglia 1968. Excavaciones arqueológicas en el Rincón del Atuel. Revista Científica de
915 Investigaciones 1(4):89-158. San Rafael.

916 Slotte, T. (2014). The impact of linked selection on plant genomic variation. Briefings in functional
917 genomics, 13(4), 268-275.

918 Somonte, C. y M. L. Cohen 2006 Reocupación y Producción Lítica: un aporte a la historia ocupacional de
919 los recintos 3 y 4 del sitio agropastoril Punta de la Peña 9 - Sector III (Antofagasta de la Sierra, Catamarca,
920 Argentina). Werken 9(2): 135- 158.

921 Storey, J. D., & Tibshirani, R. (2003). Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proceedings of the
922 National Academy of Sciences, 100(16), 9440-9445.

923 Vieira, F. G., Fumagalli, M., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2013). Estimating inbreeding coefficients from
924 NGS data: impact on genotype calling and allele frequency estimation. Genome research, 23(11), 1852-1861.